

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,886	01/16/2002	Valery V. Felmetsger	TEGL-01208US0 7950		
23910	7590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER		
	MEYER, LLP	CHAMBLISS, ALONZO			
FOUR EMB SUITE 400	FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400			PAPER NUMBER	
-	CISCO, CA 94111		2814		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
:					
Office Action Summary	10/051,886	FELMETSGER, VALERY V.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Alonzo Chambliss	2814			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	ay 2006.				
_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 22-79 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,22-43 and 59-77 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 44-58,78 and 79 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 1/6/02 is/are: a)☒ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/051,886

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/23/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 44-47, 51, 52, 78, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. (JP 2-301133) in view of Tailoring Sputtered Cr Films on Large Wafers (LW article).

With respect to Claims 44, 47, 51, 52, 78, and 79, Matsuda discloses removing a thin layer (i.e. silicon oxide film) from the surface of a wafer 11 (i.e. substrate) to eliminate any impurities from the surface of the wafer and thereafter creating microscopic roughness (i.e. atomic scaled) on the surface of the wafer to receive a deposition of the material (i.e. chromium) on the surface. Microscopic roughness on the

Page 3

surface of the wafer is created by providing dry etching on the surface of the wafer with an insufficient energy to etch the surface of the wafer but with a sufficient energy to create the microscopic roughness on the surface of the wafer (see English abstract and all figures). Matsuda fails to explicitly disclose depositing a chromium layer with a low intrinsic tensile stress on the cleaned surface of the wafer with a low stress value and thereafter depositing a layer of nickel vanadium with an intrinsic stress on the surface of the chromium layer to neutralize the low intrinsic tensile stress produced by the chromium layer. However, the LW article discloses depositing (i.e. atomically bonding) a chromium layer with a low intrinsic tensile stress on the cleaned surface of the wafer with a RF bias power and thereafter depositing a layer of nickel vanadium with an intrinsic stress on the surface of the chromium layer to neutralize the low intrinsic tensile stress produced by the chromium layer (see pages 1-7). The atomic bonding is inherently produced between the chromium in the chromium layer and the microscopically rough surface of the wafer of Matsuda. Thus, Matsuda and the LW article have substantially the same environment of a substrate in argon filled chamber. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the chromium and nickel vanadium on the substrate of Matsuda, since the chromium and nickel vanadium would facilitate a stress control bonding between the metals and the substrate as taught by the LW article.

With respect to Claim 45, the LW article discloses a chamber is provided in which to perform the recited steps and wherein molecules of an inert gas argon flow through the chamber in an order of three (3) to five (5) standard cubic centimeters per minute (3Art Unit: 2814

5 sccm) in an environment of (5-15sccm) (see pages 4 –7 and Tables 1 and 2). Thus, the chromium is deposited on the wafer at a low rate of flow of an inert gas and wherein the RF bias power is applied during the deposition of the nickel vanadium layer on the chromium layer to produce the low intrinsic compressive stress in the nickel vanadium layer.

With respect to Claim 46, the LW article discloses microscopic roughness (i.e. non-uniform surface) is provided on the surface of the wafer by ions of an inert gas by physical RF plasma etch with an insufficient energy to etch the surface of the wafer but with sufficient energy to produce the microscopic roughness on the surface of the wafer. (see pages 1-6).

4. Claims 48-50 and 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. (JP 2-301133) and Tailoring Sputtered Cr films on Large wafers (LW) as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of the Admitted Prior Art and Stress Control in Multi-Layer Backside Metallization of Thinned Wafers (TW article).

With respect to Claims 48-50 and 53-58, Matsuda-LW article fails to disclose a layer of nickel vanadium deposited on the chromium layer in firmly adhered relationship, wherein the nickel vanadium layer has a low intrinsic compressive stress to neutralize the low intrinsic tensile stress in the chromium layer and depositing a metal layer selected from the group consisting of gold, silver and copper on the nickel vanadium layer. Knowing, it is well known in the semiconductor industry to deposit a layer of metal selected from the group consisting of gold, silver, and copper on a layer of nickel-

Art Unit: 2814

vanadium layer that is deposited on a chromium layer as evident by the Admitted Prior Art (see page 2). Furthermore, the TW article discloses a layer of nickel vanadium deposited on the chromium layer in firmly adhered relationship ,wherein the nickel vanadium layer has a low intrinsic compressive stress to inherently neutralize the low intrinsic tensile stress in the chromium layer (see pages 4-9). Thus, one skilled in the art would recognize incorporating a nickel-vanadium layer to a chromium layer of Matsuda-LW, since the nickel vanadium layer provide improve the stress in the multi-layer metallization as taught by the TW article.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 22-43, and 59-77 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowance subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the combination of removing a thin layer from the surface of the wafer, wherein the material of the thin layer is the same as the material of the remaining wafer. Creating roughness on the surface of the wafer thereafter by physical RF plasma etch along with the other limitations in claim 1, 22, 29, 35, and 39.

Providing a flow of an inert gas in the order of forty (40) to fifty (50) standard cubic centimeters per minute through a chamber containing the wafer to etch a microscopic layer of material with impurities from the surface of the wafer and provide an atomic roughness to-the wafer surface, thereafter providing a flow of an inert gas through the chamber at a flow rate of approximately forty (40) to fifty (50) standard cubic

centimeters per minute and a power in the order of six hundred watts (600 W) to twelve hundred watts (1200 W) to clean the surface of the wafer and increase the roughness of the wafer surface, disposing the wafer on a wafer land, and then providing a flow of an inert gas at a rate through the chamber at a low power in the order of fifty watts (50 W) to one hundred watts (100 W) to provide the surface of the wafer with the microscopic roughness in claim 59.

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited primarily to show the process of the instant invention.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/051,886

Art Unit: 2814

272-1927.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR system see http://pair-dkect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC_Support@uspto.gov.

AC/June 24, 2006

Alonzo Chambliss Primary Patent Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 2814